

College of Radiographers Industry Partnership Research Grant

Interim Report Form – 25th September 2021

1. Principal Investigator	James Elliott	
2. Project Title	Best practice in projectional radiography of human dry bone specimens –	
	A literature review and confirmatory research using an archaeological	
	assemblage	
3. Amount of Grant	£5000	
4. Did you spend the money as indicated in your proposal (if not why)?		
The total spending for the project was £4,122.56		
This comprised of:		
- Equipment costs £351 98		
- Travel costs £99.00		
- Research time (22 days) $£3.671.58$		
Remaining balance: £877.44		
The remaining funds are to be used for Open Access publishing of an accepted article within the		
Radiography journal. This has been agreed with CoR, more details below.		
Reason for not spending funds as intended.		
Equipment costs		
• Blank DVD's x 100 (£20.00).		
 These 	se were not needed as the investigator sourced discs elsewhere free of	
char	ge.	
○ DVD wallet fo	or capacity 100 (£10.00).	
Ihes Destagraphic	se were also not required as the investigator found suitable alternatives.	
	e alternative was found online which could be printed onto A4 paper, cut	
	and used during photography	
Travel costs		
 Travel to Car 	nterbury Christ Church University (via Canterbury West train station)	
(£200.00).		
■ Ine Whe	number of trips were substantially reduced due to covid restrictions.	
∎ The	number of days required for primary data collection (at Canterbury Christ	
Chur	rch University, CCCU) was reduced due to collaborative efforts with Adelina	
Теоа	aca from Canterbury Archaeological Trust (CAT). More details below.	
 Travel to Lor 	ndon for conference presentation (£20.00)	
 Result 	Its of the project were presented at the British Association for Biological	
Anth	ropology and Osteoarchaeology (BABAO) conference. As this was an	
oniin	e event there were no travel costs associated.	

Grant ID:



•	Research time
	o 25 rese

- 25 research days (£166.89 per day).
 - The initial desk-top research proceeded according to plan but the 10 research days set aside for primary data collection benefitted from unexpected additional help.
 - An osteoarchaeologist from CAT joined efforts to radiograph the assemblage of skeletal remains for the CoRIPS project. Originally the CoRIPS project had been planned to involve only one investigator for logistics and imaging (JE). Adelina kindly offered to transport the remains to CCCU from CAT and assist with imaging so that she could use the data for her Masters thesis (Forensic Osteology and Recovery Methods). Adelina sought imaging of long bones for the assessment of Harris lines, whilst I used the experience to reflect upon radiographic technique.
 - With the additional support the primary data collection phase was completed in half the time (5 days). These surplus days are the main reason for having a large remaining balance.

5. Did you reach your intended project outcomes (if not why)?

The project was successful despite the national restrictions in travel and social distancing measures due to the coronavirus. However, the length of time taken to complete the project was adversely affected. Nevertheless, the following outcomes have been achieved:

- Scoping review of literature concerning projectional radiography of dry human bones (more details below).
- Generation of recommendations based on literature (and investigator's experience).
- Primary data collection using 92 medieval / post-medieval skeletons from an archaeological excavation in St Alban's, United Kingdom.
 - \circ ~ 502 radiographs of 426 long bones.
 - o Photographs to demonstrate radiographic technique
 - o Reflective account accepted for publication within Radiography.

6. What are your significant findings?

• Scoping review

- A scoping review of radiographic technique related to human dry bones was conducted to may existing literature. The review included articles from archaeology, anthropology and forensic investigation.
- Due to the inability to collect primary data during national lockdown, the results were written for publication within *Radiography* but ultimately rejected by the reviewers and Editor.
- The primary reason was the perceived incompatibility between the three disciplines. One recommendation was to re-write with a focus upon archaeology as a single discipline. Nevertheless, the findings are shown below in brief -
 - The scoping review identified 29 studies which provide methodological recommendations for radiographic technique with human dry bones.
 - Studies in anthropology, archaeology and forensic science use projectional radiography with human dry bones, although research goals typically differ.
 - Victim identification
 - Diagnosis or characterisation of pathologies
 - Diagnosis of biological stress indicators
 - Assessment of bone mineral density
 - Documentation of remains prior to disposal/reburial

Grant ID:

THE COLLEGE OF RADIOGRAPHERS
INDUSTRY PARTNERSHIP SCHEME
SUPPORTING RADIOGRAPHY RESEARCH

- **Biological profiling** Dental assessment **Confirmatory research** The primary data collection relied upon an archaeological assemblage from St Albans. \circ Access to the skeletal remains was possible due to the collaborative efforts with Adelina Teoaca. A radiographic survey of tibiae, femora, humeri and radii was performed using the clinical radiography teaching room at CCCU. Significant findings include -Value of multidisciplinary teamwork - Having an experienced osteoarchaeologist was critical to the success of the primary data collection. Her intimate knowledge of human dry bones exceeded my own and increased the speed and accuracy of the radiographic survey. Of note, the correct identification of fragmented or juvenile bones required a level of knowledge of osteology beyond my experience as a radiographer. Clearly defined roles and systematic process for imaging – An effective system of locating, imaging and recording the radiographic survey was developed using an iterative process. Details of which shall be in the publication. Use of radiolucent foam pads for positioning - Whereas the anterior-posterior view was easily obtained in most cases, the medio-lateral view required judicious use of foam pads for accurate positioning and stability. . Exposure factors for human dry bones - Through experimentation and reference to the available literature, exposure values of 55kV and 5mAs were used for all adult bones irrespective of anatomy. This produced images of suitable penetration and exposure. Slight reductions for juvenile bones were made. Use of archaeological remains for learning - Direct handling of human bones increased knowledge of osteology that may benefit radiographers (or those with a particular interest in forensics). Archaeological remains are more accessible than comparable forensic remains for radiographic practice (due to legal sensitivities). As such, there is potential for further pedagogic research for the use of archaeological remains in diagnostic radiography. 7. Have you submitted the work for publication (if so where)? Scoping review Submitted for peer review within Radiography but rejected on grounds of incompatibility 0 between archaeology, anthropology and forensic investigation for synthesis of radiographic technique. Also concerns regarding heterogenous nature of research goals between disciplines, leading to difficulties in commonality. Suggested for re-submission within an archaeology-specific journal. 0 • Currently being drafted. **Confirmatory research** (reflective account) o Submitted for peer review within Radiography and accepted subject to amendments. • Resubmitted 25th September 2021.
 - Intended for Open Access publishing, using remaining funds and contribution from the author.
 - £1500.00 (reduced from £2000.00 for Society of Radiographers members)
 - CoRIPS funding £877.44
 - Author's contribution £622.56

Grant ID:



8. Have you presented the work at a national/international event (if so where)?

British Association for Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology

Title: *Radiographic assessment of archaeological long bones: Experiential feedback on technique* Authors – James Elliott and Adelina Teoaca

A recording of the presentation can be viewed at: https://youtu.be/A6kPC_3zekE

9. Please provide an executive summary of your work (two sides of A4 maximum) N.B. If you already have a draft or final version of the proposed publication please attach this to the report.

To follow.

9. Return of final report form

Please return this form to:

Professional and Education Administration Team The Society & College of Radiographers 207 Providence Square Mill Street London SE1 2EW

Or by email at pande@sor.org